

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/083,217	02/25/2002	Mark T. Davis	PALM-3744	4736
		7590 08/24/2007 JRABITO & HAO LLP		EXAM	INER
Third Floor				TORRES, MARCOS L	
	Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Jan Jose, Cri J	3113		2617	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Anntinantin			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/083,217	DAVIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marcos L. Torres	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ARANDO	ON. e timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	une 2007				
_	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1 2 7-10 15-18 and 23-26 is/are pend	ting in the application				
4) Claim(s) 1,2,7-10,15-18 and 23-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Scholaciation.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-10,15-18 and 23-26</u> is/are reject					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		- Eveniner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		50 / Calon of form 1 1 0 102.			
	oneignitu undar 25 II O.O. C.440:	(-) (d) (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	s have been received				
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau		ved in this realional stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.			
	22,23				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/083,217

Art Unit: 2617

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-2, 9-10, 17-18 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suomela US 20030011467A1 in view of Yoshizawa US 20010036273A1 and further in view of Spicer US 7249188.

As to claim 1, Suomela discloses a method of establishing a wireless connection to a device (see par. 0001), said method comprising: displaying a list of available devices within wireless range (see par. 0009); receiving a selection of a device that is included in said list; connecting wirelessly with said device (see par. 0010); and designating said device as a trusted device, wherein as a trusted device a passkey for said device, wherein said passkey is retrieved from memory and wherein manual input of said passkey is obviated for subsequent connections (see 0044) and indicating that said device is a trusted device in said list, wherein said list includes trusted devices (see par. 0051). Suomela does not specifically disclose exchanging passkeys with said device, said exchanging comprising sending a first passkey to said device and receiving a second passkey from the device. In an analogous art, Yoshizawa discloses exchanging passkeys with said device, said exchanging comprising sending a first passkey to said device and receiving a second passkey from the device, and using said second key for future connections (see par. 0008-0011), thereby making a faster and secure connection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine both teachings for the simple purpose of a secure user-friendly connection.

Page 4

Suomela discloses two embodiments one were all devices are shown and a second embodiment were only the "trusted" devices are only shown (see par. 0057), thereby distinguishing said trusted devices from non-trusted. Suomela and Yoshizawa do not disclose distinguishing said trusted devices from non-trusted in the same list. In another analogous art, Spicer discloses disclose distinguishing said trusted devices from non-trusted in the same list (see col. 9, lines 12-27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this teaching to the modified Suomela and Yoshizawa system to easily in a single list let the user know which devices are trusted or not and let the user take appropriate decision or steps.

As to claim 2, Suomela discloses the method wherein connecting are performed substantially according to Bluetooth protocols (see 0028).

As to claim 25, Suomela disclose the method comprising placing an icon adjacent the name of said device in said list indicate that said device is a trusted device (see par. 0050).

Regarding claims 9-10, they are the corresponding system claims of method claims 1-2. Therefore, claims 9-10 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

Regarding claims 17-18 and 26, they are the corresponding apparatus claims of method claims 1-2 and 25. Therefore, claims 17-18 and 26 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

Art Unit: 2617

5. Claims 7, 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suomela in view of Yoshizawa and Spicer as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Baptist US005465392A.

As to claim 7, Suomela disclose everything claimed as explained above except for the method comprising: deleting a device from said list. Baptist discloses the method comprising: deleting a device from a list (see col. 5, lines 21-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this teaching to the Suomela method for the simple reason of organization purposes.

Regarding claim 15 is the corresponding system claim of method claims 7.

Therefore, claims 15 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

Regarding claims 23 is the corresponding apparatus claims of method claims 7.

Therefore, claims 23 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

6. Claims 8, 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suomela in view of Yoshizawa and Spicer as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sormunen US006112078A.

As to claim 8, Suomela disclose everything claimed as explained above except for the method wherein said passkey is valid only for a specified period of time. Sormunen discloses the method wherein a passkey is valid only for a specified period of time (see col. 1, lines 50-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this teaching to the Soumela method for enhanced security.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,217

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 16 is the corresponding system claim of method claims 8.

Therefore, claims 16 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

Regarding claims 24 is the corresponding apparatus claims of method claims 8.

Therefore, claims 24 are rejected for the same reason shown above.

## Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be mailed to: .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

571-273-8300

for formal communication intended for entry, informal communication or draft communication; in the case of informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building ... 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos L. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-7926. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-6:00 PM alt. Wednesday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-252-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,217

Art Unit: 2617

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcos L Torres Examiner Art Unit 2617

CUPERVISOR